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|  | AP US GOV Court Case Discussion   |  **NAME: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**DATE: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| CRITERIA | UNSATISFACTORY(Well-Below Performance Standards) | NEARING PROFICIENCY(Below Performance Standards) | PROFICIENT(Minimal Criteria) | ADVANCED(Demonstrates Exceptional Performance) |
| Social Studies Content | * Does not demonstrate understanding of fundamental ideas presented in the case.
 | * Demonstrates partial understanding of fundamental ideas in the case.
 | * Demonstrates clear understanding of fundamental ideas in the case.
 | In addition to meeting the PROFICIENT criteria …* Provides original and insightful understandings using personal or current issues.
* Delves deep into the ideas/philosophy presented in the case.
 |
|   | 0 - - - - - - - - - - - - 8 - - - - - - - - - - - - 16 | 17 - - - - - - - - - - - - 18 - - - - - - - - - - - - 19 | 20- - - - - - - - - - - - 21 - - - - - - - - - - - - 22 | 23 - - - - - - - - - -24 - - - -- - - - - - - 25 |
| Oral Comm | * Word choice and language detracts from presentation.
* Presentation DOES NOT take the appropriate time (either too short or too long).
 | * Word choice and language was SOMETIMES appropriate for the audience.
* Presentation takes close to the appropriate time (may be slightly too long or too short).
 | * Word choice and language was appropriate for the audience.
* Presentation takes the appropriate time (neither too long or too short).
 | In addition to meeting the PROFICIENT criteria …* Word choice and language clearly explain advanced concepts in a way that the audience can understand.
* Presentation was well designed to fit within the time frame.
 |
|   | 0 - - - - - - - - - - - - 8 - - - - - - - - - - - - 16 | 17 - - - - - - - - - - - - 18 - - - - - - - - - - - - 19 | 20- - - - - - - - - - - - 21 - - - - - - - - - - - - 22 | 23 - - - - - - - - - -24 - - - - - - - - - - 25 |
| Critical Thinking | * Ideas are not original.
* No commentary or analysis present.
 | * Some original ideas OR
* Some attempt at commentary or analysis.
 | * Original ideas present AND…
* Commentary and analysis exist.
 | In addition to meeting the PROFICIENT criteria…* Sophisticated and complex commentary and/or analysis.
 |
|   | 0 - - - - - - - - - - - - 8 - - - - - - - - - - - - 16 | 17 - - - - - - - - - - - - 18 - - - - - - - - - - - - 19 | 20- - - - - - - - - - - - 21 - - - - - - - - - - - - 22 | 23 - - - - - - -- - - -24 - - - - -- - - - - - 25 |
| Work Ethic | * Discussion lacks organization and professional appearance.
* Past Due.
 | * Discussion may lack organization and/or professional appearance
* Past Due
 | * Discussion is kept on task with organization and professionalism.
* On Time
 | In addition to meeting the PROFICIENT criteria…* Coverage of case is complete and thorough
* On Time and Revised
 |
|   | 0 - - - - - - - - - - - - 8 - - - - - - - - - - - - 16 | 17 - - - - - - - - - - - - 18 - - - - - - - - - - - - 19 | 20- - - - - - - - - - - - 21 - - - - - - - - - - - - 22 | 23 - - - - - -- - - - -24 - - -- - - - - - - - 25 |

**COMMENTS:**