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|  | Collaboration Rubric  |  **STUDENT: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**EVALUATOR: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| CRITERIA | UNSATISFACTORY(Well-Below Performance Standards) | NEARING PROFICIENCY(Below Performance Standards) |  PROFICIENT(Minimal Criteria) | ADVANCED(Demonstrates Exceptional Performance) |
| **Leadership and****Initiative**(%)25 | * Group member played a passive role, generating few new ideas.
* Tended to only do what they were told to do by others.
* Did not seek help when needed.
 | * Group member played an active role on some days in generating new ideas.
* Occasionally took initiative in getting tasks organized and completed.
* Sometimes sought help when needed.
 | * Group member played an active role in generating new ideas.
* Took initiative in getting tasks organized and completed.
* Sought help when needed.
 | In addition to meeting the PROFICIENT criteria…* Group member provided leadership to the group by thoughtfully organizing and dividing the work.
* Checked on progress, or provided focus and direction for the project.
 |
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| Facilitation and Support(%)25 | * Group member seemed unable or unwilling to help others.
* Made non-constructive criticisms toward the project or other group members.
* Distracted other members.
 | * Group member helped others on occasion.
* Sometimes listened to ideas of others.
* Positive but also distracting.
 | * Group member demonstrated willingness to help other group members when asked.
* Actively listened to the ideas of others.
* Helped create a positive work environment.
 | In addition to meeting the PROFICIENT criteria …* Group member would actively check with others to understand how each member was progressing and how he or she may be of help.
 |
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| Contribution**And Work Ethic**(%)50 | * Group member was often off task, did not complete assignments or duties, or had attendance problems that significantly impeded progress on project.
* May have worked hard but on relatively unimportant parts of the project.
 | * Group member was often off task, but completed some assignments or duties.
* Had some attendance problems, but work was completed.
* At times worked hard, but it was unclear if work completed was for project or not.
 | * Group member was prepared to work each day, met due dates by completing assignments/duties, and worked hard on the project a most of the time.
* If absent, other group members knew the reason and progress was not significantly impeded.
 | In addition to meeting the PROFICIENT criteria …* Group member made up for work left undone by other group members.
* Demonstrated willingness to spend significant time outside of class/school to complete the project.
 |
|   | 0 - - - - - - - - - - - - 8 - - - - - - - - - - - - 16 | 17 - - - - - - - - - - - - 18 - - - - - - - - - - - - 19 | 20- - - - - - - - - - - - 21 - - - - - - - - - - 22 | 23 - - - - - - - - - - - -24 - - - - - - - - - 25 |

**COMMENTS:**